1 In Newton's method the approximation x_{n+1} to a root of f(x) = 0 is computed from the approximation x_n using the equation

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)}$$

- i Derive the above formula, using a Taylor series of f(x).
- ii For $f(x) = x 3^{-x}$, refine the approximation $x_0 = 0.54$ to the unique root of f(x) by carrying out one iteration of Newton's method.
- 2 Consider the difference approximation

$$f_n' = \frac{-f_{n+2} + 4f_{n+1} - 3f_n}{2h}$$

where f_n means f(x) and f_{n+1} means f(x+h)

- i Use this formula to approximate the derivative of f(x) = cos(x) at x = 0 using step sizes of h = 0.10 and 0.20.
- ii Make an error analysis. Estimate the order of error $(O(h^2))$. **Hints:** The ratio of errors and the difference with the exact value.

3

$$f(x) = 3 * x + \sin(x) - e^x$$

This nonlinear equation is solved by using three methods, namely *Bisection*, *Newton's*, *Muller's* methods. Then, the following tables are obtained.

| iteration | $(x)_{1}$ | | () | () |
|---|--|---|--|---|
| | | | $(x)_{2}$ | $(x)_3$ |
| 1 0.5 | 500000000000000 | 0 0.3333 | 3333333333 | 0.500000000000000 |
| 2 0.2 | 250000000000000 | 0 0.3601 | 7071357763 | 0.3549138904901 |
| 3 0.3 | 3750000000000 | 0 0.3604 | 2168047602 | 0.3604646779277 |
| 4 0.3 | 312500000000 | 0 0.3604 | 2170296032 | 0.3604216976632 |
| | | | | |
| 5 0.3 | 343750000000 | 0 0.3604 | 2170296032 | 0.3604217029603 |
| 5 0.3 iteration | 3437500000000000000000000000000000000000 | $\frac{00 \ 0.3604}{(f(x))_2}$ | $(f(x))_3$ | 0.3604217029603 |
| 5 0.3 iteration 1 1 3. | $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | $ \begin{array}{c c} 2170296032 \\ \hline (f(x))_3 \\ \hline 3.3070e-01 \end{array} $ | 0.3604217029603 |
| 5 0.3 iteration 1 1 3. 2 -2. | $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | $ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | $\begin{array}{c} 2170296032\\\hline (f(x))_3\\\hline 3.3070\text{e-}01\\\hline -1.3807\text{e-}02\end{array}$ | |
| 5 0.3 iteration 1 1 3. 2 -2. 3 3. | $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | $\begin{array}{c c} 2170296032 \\\hline (f(x))_3 \\\hline 3.3070 \text{e-}01 \\\hline -1.3807 \text{e-}02 \\\hline 1.0751 \text{e-}04 \end{array}$ | |
| 5 0.3 iteration 1 1 3. 2 -2. 3 3. 4 -1. | $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | $\begin{array}{c} 2170296032\\\hline (f(x))_3\\\hline 3.3070e-01\\\hline -1.3807e-02\\\hline 1.0751e-04\\\hline -1.3252e-08\end{array}$ | 0.3604217029603 |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 375000000000000000000000000000000000000 | $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 2168047602 2170296032 | $\begin{array}{c} 0.3604646779 \\ 0.3604216976 \end{array}$ |

| (use serence | ine necesion | | , see the tax | ie above); | | |
|--------------|--------------|-----------|---------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| iteration | $Error_1$ | $Error_2$ | $Error_3$ | $ErrorRatio_1$ | $ErrorRatio_2$ | $ErrorRatio_3$ |
| 1 | | | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | | |

i If the exact value is given as 0.36042170296032, fill the following tables (use scientific notation as %12.4e, see the table above);

- ii Analyze the obtained tables. Is the convergence sustained for the each methods? For the sustained ones; at which iteration and why?
- iii What can you say about the speed of convergences for each method?
- iv By using your answers for the previous items, fill the following table. You should explain your decision.

| | I J | | |
|------|------------|------------|------------|
| | $Method_1$ | $Method_2$ | $Method_3$ |
| Name | | | |

- v Which method is the best one? Why?
- 4 Solve this system by Gaussian elimination with pivoting

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 4 & 6 \\ 8 & -3 & 2 & 2 \\ -1 & 10 & 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

- i How many row interchanges are needed?
- ii Repeat without any row interchanges. Do you get the same results?
- iii You could have saved the row multipliers and obtained a LU equivalent of the coefficient matrix. Use this LU to solve but with right-hand sides of $[-3, 7, -2]^T$
- iv Solve the second item again but use three significant digits of precision.
- 5 Consider the matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -1 & 2\\ 1 & 1 & 3\\ -3 & 0 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

i Use the Gaussian elimination method to triangularize this matrix and from that gets its determinant.

- ii Get the inverse of the matrix through Gaussian elimination.
- iii Get the inverse of the matrix through Gauss-Jordan method.
- 6 Find the power fit $y = Ax^2$ for the following data,

| x_k | y_k |
|-------|-------|
| 2.0 | 5.1 |
| 2.3 | 7.5 |
| 2.6 | 10.6 |
| 2.9 | 14.4 |
| 3.2 | 10.0 |

7 For the given set of data, find the least-squares curve, $f(x) = Ce^{Ax}$ by using the change of variables X = x V = ln/a

 $f(x) = Ce^{Ax}$, by using the change of variables X = x, Y = ln(y), and $C = e^{B}$, to linearize the data points.

| x_k | y_k |
|-------|-------|
| 1 | 0.6 |
| 2 | 1.9 |
| 3 | 4.3 |
| 4 | 7.6 |
| 5 | 12.6 |

8 Write the expression to economize the Maclaurin series for e^{2x} with the precision 0.008 by using Chebyshev polynomials. Do not perform the calculation.

9 Find the Fourier series representation of the given function.

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} -1 & for -\pi < x < 0\\ 1 & for \ 0 < x < \pi \end{cases}$$

10 Find the Fourier series representation of the given function.

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{for } \pi/2 < x < \pi \\ 1 & \text{for } -\pi/2 < x < \pi/2 \\ -1 & \text{for } -\pi < x < -\pi/2 \end{cases}$$

11 Consider the following table of data

| x_i | f_i |
|--------|--------|
| 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 0.2000 | 0.5879 |
| 0.4000 | 1.0637 |
| 0.6000 | 1.3927 |
| 0.8000 | 1.5573 |
| 1.0000 | 1.5575 |
| 1.2000 | 1.4091 |

- i Approximate $\int_0^{1.2} f(x) dx$ using the *Trapezoidal Rule* and a step size of h = 0.6.
- ii Approximate $\int_0^{1.2} f(x) dx$ using the *Trapezoidal Rule* and a step size of h = 0.2.
- iii Estimate the *error* in your answer to previous item. **Hint:** Use the procedure to estimate the proportionality factor, C.
- **12** Consider the function $f(x) = x^2$;
 - i Fill the following table within the five digit accuracy

| x_i | f_i |
|---------|---------|
| 0.00000 | 0.00000 |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| 1.20000 | |

- ii Approximate $\int_0^{1.2} f(x) dx$ using the *Trapezoidal Rule* and a step size of h = 0.2.
- iii Approximate $\int_0^{1.2} f(x) dx$ using the *Trapezoidal Rule* and a step size of h = 0.4.
- iv Analyze and compare your results. Estimate the *error* in your answers.